

## **Perceptions for Empowering in Business Education for Better Employment**

**Dr. M. Ramana Kumar**

### **Abstract**

The rise of the competency movement and the “people are our greatest asset” mantra are inextricably linked with each other. Many viewed the competency phenomenon as a solution to problems linked with recruitment, performance appraisal and development. Studies of management effectiveness and the consequent development of competency frameworks are typically conducted from an ‘Objective’ stance. Such studies aim to take a scientific approach using observable, quantitative measures. This article is one such study.

The growth of the competency movement has had a profound effect on the vocabulary of management. Organizations tend to adopt their own ‘house-style’ of describing effective practice. Individuals describe their bosses and colleagues behavior in their own personal way outside the workplace. The influences on the way effective is portrayed in language are many and diverse. Since the late 1980’s there has been an upsurge in the number of professionally qualified managers. This increase in management education has introduced management theory to many organizations and individuals previously untouched by it. Current ‘managerial discourse’ ( Fairclough & Hardy, 1987) is the product of a range of social influences. The examination of the language of management is of key concern to those involved in improving organizational learning.

Keywords: Personal contract Theory , competencies, MCI

### **1. Introduction:**

Studies of management effectiveness and the consequent development of competency frameworks are typically conducted from an ‘objective’ stance. Such studies aim to take a scientific approach using observable, quantitative measures. They are rooted in a factionalist perspective (Burrell & Morgan, 1979) which evaluates management in terms of outputs. The behavior which leads to these desired outputs are then listed as the competencies necessary for effective performance.

As a counterbalance to this approach, some research has been conducted from a subjective perspective using repertory grid technique. Such work is a deliberate attempt to move away from a positivist approach by examining feelings and attitudes of practitioners about ‘effective management’ thus describing management behavior in ‘lay terms’. The study reported in this paper falls into this category using repertory grid technique based on personal construct theory (Kelly, 1955) in order to elicit an everyday language of competence.

## 2. Objectives:

1. To explore a range of management competency models
2. To report the findings of an exploratory study which examined perceptions of the skills and competencies required.
3. To examine how career role, individual perceptions and language may affect.

The term competence has acquired a number of meanings over the past twenty years. For many practitioners, the debate about the difference between the words competence, competency, and skill is academic and of little concern. Woodruff's (1993) assertion that competency is often used as an umbrella term to cover almost anything that might directly or indirectly affect job performance emphasizes this point. Robtham and Jubb (1996) comment upon the confusion, both within and between organizations, caused by the language of competence. It remains one of the most diffuse terms in organizational and occupational literature.

*“An underlying characteristic of an individual which is causally related to effective or superior performance within a job”*

*“Competencies deal with the behavior people need to display in order to do the job effectively and not with the job itself”*

## 3. Frameworks and Models:

Much of the literature dealing with the achievement of management effectiveness offers a definitive model or framework of requires competencies. In reality, management is based on a complex set of actions, thoughts and circumstances. Over-simplification and prescription are the downfall of these frameworks. This is particularly evident when a specific search is made or lists of middle managers skills and competencies.

## 4. Review Of Literature

Stewart et al. observe that an assumption is made about middle management behavior being merely a microcosm of top management practices. They contend that middle managers perform a unique role in organizations.

Burgoyne also voices concern about the prescriptive nature of lists of management competencies and prefers to promote ‘meta-competencies’ which are not specific skills or outputs but general behavior and mindsets which successful managers seem to adopt.

Some organizations and educational establishments have been dazzled by the sample brilliance of the Management Charter Initiative (MCI) and its frameworks of competencies. Although the MCI was set up to solve the real deep seated problem of the inadequacies of British managers. When measured against their US or Japanese counterparts, it served to bureaucratize and over-simplify the issue. In its efforts to be scientific the MCI prescribed one best way of managing at various levels. Thorpe and Holmann find fault with the MCI for not taking into the account the size, culture, purpose, and market position of different

organizations. Currie and Derby report low participation rates in MCI based projects due to complexity and bureaucracy in administering the standards.

### **Personal Construct Theory**

It is proposed by Kelly as a theory of personality. However personal construct psychology offers much more than an insight into personality it suggests ways in which individuals may be motivated, they communicate with each other. Kelly attempts to take a holistic view of man and how he interacts with his world, based on the conception that each individual will see the world in a different way.

The basic philosophical stance taken by Kelly and his co-researchers is termed Constructive Alternativism. At the root of constructive alternativism is Kelly's view, it represented a fairly radical stance in that he believed rather than being slaves to the stimuli present in their environment. The basic tenet of constructive is that each person construes the world in a different way and that as individuals. Interpretation of the world by adopting, developing or succumbing to an alternative Kelly terms their personal construct system defined as the channels in which one's mental process run. Constructs are formed with two opposing poles, or as Kelly puts it that they are two way streets along which one may travel to reach conclusions. They make it possible to anticipate the changing tide of events. These are inter related and organized into a hierarchy or super ordinate and subordinate relationships. Thus each person has his own unique personal construct system. As Bannister and Fransella point out, Basic to our making sense of the world and of the world themes and our segmenting of the world in terms of them.

### **Repertory Grid Technique:**

The Repertory Grid Technique test is presented by Kelly as a diagnostic instrument to be used in a clinical setting. The objective of the test is to elicit personal constructs. According to Fransella many of the repertory grids in use today bear little resemblance to those originally proposed.

Repertory grids have been widely used to produce both generic and organization-specific competency lists. One of the concerns in carrying out the study reported below was that given the potentiality manipulative effects of publishing and using competencies, the use of personal construct theory via repertory grid technique, to define competencies seemed rather out of place. Kelly's decision of behavioral principals and his view of individuals making their own decisions about the right way to act do not sit well along side organizations seeking to prescribe behaviors.

### **Sample**

The total number of interviewees in the sample were 25 of whom 8 Administration Managers, 8 were Human Resource Managers and 9 were marketing Managers. All interviews accepted a definition of their roles as middle managers. Ages ranged from 23 to 49 with a mean age across the sample of 35 interviewees worked in one of 14 organizations covering the public and private sectors, service providers and manufacturing. Seven males 18 females were interviewed.

## 5. Analysis of interview evidence

The findings from each group are discussed in turn. Positive constructs are shown left side and negative to right side. An attempt has been made to classify responses under broad headings has been taken to give a wide range of verbatim reporting also helps to illustrate the broad range of constructs produced by the exercise.

### Analysis of administration managers

It covers all constructs in which relationships with others are discussed. It deals with managers attitudes to others. their general demeanor in dealing with colleagues at a variety of levels and any underlying personality traits which influence behavior.

### People Skills

**Table 1.1**

Interviewer	Interviewee
Trusts subordinates	No
Treats people as individuals	Talks down to people
Approachable	Blames
Concerned about personal problems	Treating impersonally
Feedback/credit	No encouragement
Time spending	Less time subordinates

### Training and Development of Subordinates

This is strictly classified under the broad heading of people skills, the group of general managers lay so much emphasis on this area that it warrants mention in its own in the table 1.2 given.

**Table 1.2**

Encourages development	No interest
Coaches Subordinates	Leaves to make own mistakes
Gives opportunities to learn	Views all work as tasks to complete

### **Communication Skills**

This area covers a wide variety of skills. Only two of the administrative managers used the broad term communication skills others preferred to pinpoint more specific skills or traits in this area. All general managers provided at least one construct under this table 1.3

**Table 1.3**

Communicates standards	They are unclear
Regular meetings	No meetings
Communicates unpopular information	Hides it
Informs subordinates of strategic decisions	No information to them
Listens well	Listens badly

### **Organizational Skills**

These are concerned with dealing with administration and paperwork, planning, ahead, managing time and organizing the workload of others. Seven out of administration managers gave at least one construct in this area.

**Table 1.4**

Organized	Disorganized
Good planning skills	No planning
Managers time	Does not meet deadlines
Delegates effectively	Delegates in appropriately

### **Strategic Management**

This area covers traits and skills which enable the managers to have a view of the business as a whole and to manage and anticipate change.

**Table 1.5**

Strategic vision	See only own tasks
Broad knowledge	Limited knowledge
Good overview	Lacks and overview

### **Other constructs**

The constructs given by the administrative managers due to small size of sample and the comparison to be drawn later on with marketing and Human Resource Managers in the given table.

**Table 1.6**

Managers budgets well	Unable to do
Flexible approach for goal	In flexible
Team attitude	Individualistic
Professional image	Un professional
Creative	Lacks ideas
Democratic leader	Autocratic
Sense of humor	lacks
Forceful determined aggressive	Weak indecisive

### Marketing Managers

This category was given special emphasis by this group. Seven out of the mangers gave at least one construct to this area.

#### Team Approach

**Table 2.1**

Family approach	Bossy
Team focus	Individual focus
Gets involved	Not a team player
Leads by example	Will not get stuck in
Team builds by communication	Does not have team spirit
Shares perks	No sharing

### Socializing

Although one or two general and HR provided a construct in this area 4 marketing managers believed that a good manger socialized outside work with subordinates. When socializing with the subordinates the marketing managers are not uncomfortable. when socializes outside work also these are not cooperative.

### Human resource managers

#### Conflict handling

As a subsection of people skills this area warrants special mention here four HR managers gave a construct in this area.

**Table 3.1**

Deals well with conflicts	Does not deal well
Can manage conflict	Cannot
Not afraid to deal with conflicts	Avoids this situations

## Technical Knowledge

**Table 3.2**

Good technical knowledge	poor
Relates theory to practice	It won't relate
Follows procedures	Lack of respect
Professionally qualified	Gut reaction
Practical technical knowledge	No ideas

### 6. Conclusions

There is some evidence to suggest that management competency lists or frameworks preclude the articulation of real persona; observations on the nature of management effectiveness in job terms. However, this is not the case in a discussion of management ineffectiveness where stock phrases are not so readily available.

The real question is whether students continued use of the language of competency implies that learning transfer has occurred or is students use the language without fully understanding what they mean by it.

It could be argued that if we asked these managers to explain what they individually meant when they used certain terms. We would be offered a range of interpretation. So whilst we may all feel comfortable and clever using the same terminology, we may lack a shared meaning competency frameworks purposing to give the right answer deny us an opportunity to explore our own concept of effective management. Pavlica, Holman and Thorpe contend that managers should be able to make and create knowledge rather than have it found out for them in order to become practical authors in job situations.

Whilst such a dominant language of management competence persists, it is difficult to promote a transformational dialogue around the concept of management effectiveness. A truly social constructionist approach to exploring our perceptions of what makes a good job holder could lead to the production of generative theory which results in real individual and organizational learning.

Managers both consciously and unconsciously help to construct an organizations common sense by responding to aspects available to all in the conversational background and by creating a shared way of talking about features of it organizations.

The imposition of a standard aspects without giving opportunities to explain its meaning denies us this opportunity for shared expressions which results in enhanced performance in the workplace.

## 7. References

- 1) Baldwin, TT and Ford,JK, ' Transfer of Training; A review and directions for future research.' *Personal Psychology*, Vol.41, pp.63-105.
- 2) Banister. D and Frensella, F, 'Inquiring Man Theory of Personal Constructs', Middlesex. Penguin.
- 3) Burrell, G and Morgan, , *Sociological Paradigms and Organizational Analysis*” London.
- 4) Kelly “ *The Psychology if Personal Constructs*”
- 5) Horton JL “*Integrating Corporate Communications,*”
- 6) Stewart , R, “*Managing in Britain and Germany*”
- 7) Pavlica,K, Holman,D and Thorpe, R, “*The manager as practical author of learning*”*Career Development International*, Vol 3 No 7 pp.300-307.
- 8) Robotham,D,and Jubb, Rm “*Competences – measuring the un measurable*”, *Mangemnet Deveopment Review*,
- 9) Gent, Michael J. and Gregory G. Dell'Omo. "The Needs Assessment Solution." *Personnel Administrator*, July 1989: 82-84.
- 10) McGehee, W. and P-W. Thayer. *Training in Business and Industry*. New York: Wiley, 1961.
- 11) Zemke, Ron and Thomas Kramlinger. *Figuring Things Out: A Trainer's Guide to Needs and Task Analysis*. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, 1982.
- 12) Janice A. Miller, SPHR, and Diana M. Osinski, SPHR, members of the SHRM Training and Development Committee, for contributing this paper.
- 13) Sudhir Dawara, *Human Resource development and personnel Management*, Radha Publications, New Delhi, 2001, P.No.332.
- 14) Beach, Dale, *Personnel, the management of people at work*, fourth Edition, Macmillan, New York, 1981, P.No.221.
- 15) Randall, S., Schuler, *personnel and Human Resource Management*, West Publishing, New York, 1981.
- 16) Aswathappa, K., *Human Resource Management*, Tata McGraw-Hill Publishing Company Limited, New Delhi, Fourth Edition and Report, 2003. P.No.199.
- 17) Basava, K.D., *Human Resource Development*, Vidya Vahini Prakashana, Second Revised Edition, 2000, P.No.122.
- 18) Flippo, Edwini,B., *Principles of Personnel Management*, Tata McGraw-Hill Book Co., , 1980.
- 19) Basava, K.D., *Human Resource Management*, Vidya Vahini Prakashana,